Comparison of baseline characteristics and outcome rates of 15,480 ASCEND trial participants with a matched population of 92,612 people with diabetes and no prior cardiovascular disease

Mafham M^{1,2}, Offer A¹, Kwok A^{1,2}, Morris E^{1,2,3}, Bowman L¹, Armitage J¹, Parish S¹

¹ Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom ² Health Data Research UK, London Greater London, United Kingdom

³ NIHR Oxford Biomedical Research Centre, Oxford, United Kingdom

Introduction

Methods

The ASCEND (A Study of Cardiovascular Events iN Diabetes) trial ¹

- Assessed aspirin and, separately, omega-3 fatty acids in people with diabetes and no prior cardiovascular disease.
- Recruited 15,480 participants in the UK using centrally mailed invitations.
- Used mail-based assessment of eligibility, informed consent, and treatment supply, with telephone support.

This streamlined design

- Enabled reliable randomised evidence to be generated cost-efficiently, but
- May have recruited a healthy, low risk population.

We used primary care data from the **Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD)** to compare characteristics and outcomes rates in the trial participants with those who could have joined the trial.

ASCEND trial participants

- Individuals with diabetes, aged at least 40 years, recruited between 2005 and 2011
- No prior cardiovascular disease, gastrointestinal bleed or peptic ulcer in the previous 6 months, active liver disease, other life-threatening medical problem or anti-coagulant therapy use

Reference population identified from CPRD (i.e. primary care data from participating practices in England)

- ICD-10, OPCS 4 and READ codes used to reproduce the trial eligibility criteria (as much as possible)
- Age and sex-matched reference cohort selected within each year 2006 to 2011, weighted so distribution by year matched that in ASCEND

Outcomes from linked mortality data, Hospital Episode Statistics, and, for ASCEND participants, equivalent data in Scotland and Wales.

Results

Table 2: Outcome rates of events in ASCEND participants and the matched CPRD reference cohort

ASCEND	CPRD	
Number of events Rate	(95% CI) Number of events Rate	(95% CI

Results

Table 1: Baseline characteristics for ASCENDparticipants and the reference CPRD cohort

	ASCEND (n=15,480)	CPRD (n=92,612)
Female, n (%)	5796 (37.4)	34,776 (37.6)
Age, years (mean (SD))	63.3 (9.2)	63.3 (9.2)
Ethnicity, n (%)		
White	14,935 (96.5)	58,247 (62.9)
South Asian	184 (1.2)	5400 (5.8)
Black African/Caribbean	140 (0.9)	2766 (3.0)
Other	174 (1.1)	1593 (1.7)
Unknown	47 (0.3)	24,606 (26.6)
On aspirin at start of follow-up, n(%)	7740 (50)	23,531 ¹ (25.4)
Charlson Comorbidity Index > 0, $n(\%)^2$	1624 (10.5)	9740 (10.9)
Hospital Frailty Index > 0, n(%) ³	1770 (11.5)	9678 (10.8)
Deciles of Index of Multiple Deprivation (median (IQR))	6 (4-9)	5 (3-8)

¹ Based on keyword search for "aspirin" in the year prior to selection ^{2,3} For the frailty and comorbidity indices, the percentage is among those with non-missing values (N=15,434 in ASCEND and 89,836 in CPRD).

Serious vascular events	1127	99	(93-105)	8407	127	(124-129)
Serious vascular events excluding TIA	1026	90	(85-96)	7806	118	(115-120)
Any arterial revascularisation	736	65	(60-69)	4723	71	(69-73)
Major bleed	599	53	(48-57)	4254	64	(62-66)

Serious vascular event = Primary outcome (cardiovascular death, stroke, transient ischaemic attack (TIA), myocardial infarction, excluding intracranial haemorrhage)¹ Limited to participants in England, Wales and Scotland. Rates are per 10 000 person years

- ASCEND participants were less deprived and more likely to be of white ethnicity (Table 1)
- Few individuals had significant comorbidities or evidence of frailty in either cohort (Table 1)
- Serious vascular event rates were higher in the CPRD cohort (Table 2)
- Arterial revascularisation and major bleed rates were similar in the two cohorts (Table 2)

References

 ¹ The ASCEND Study Collaborative Group. Effects of Aspirin for Primary Prevention in Persons with Diabetes Mellitus. N Engl J Med 2018;379:1529-1539
² Gilbert T, et al. Development and validation of a Hospital Frailty Risk Score focusing on older people in acute care settings using electronic hospital records: an observational study. Lancet. 2018;391(10132):1775–1782

Discussion

• Prevalence of comorbidities and frailty was low in both the ASCEND and the age and sex matched reference CPRD cohort.

- The ASCEND trial, using mail-based recruitment, did not select a population at substantially lower risk than the reference population, but trial participants were less deprived and more likely to be of white ethnicity.
- Healthcare datasets, such as CPRD, provide a method of comparing outcome rates in trials with

³ Quan H, et al. Coding algorithms for defining comorbidities in ICD-9-CM and ICD-10 administrative data. Med Care. 2005;43(11):1130-9

method of comparing outcome rates in trials with those in the target population.

The ASCEND trial was supported by the British Heart Foundation (BHF), Bayer (Germany and the United States), Solvay, Abbott, and Mylan. This analysis was supported by departmental funding from Health Data Research UK, U.K. Medical Research Council, the BHF, & Cancer Research U.K. This work uses data provided by patients and collected by the NHS as part of their care and support.



Scan the code to download the poster

